UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO

Isaac John Brewster 11061 Prov Neap Swan Rd Grand Rapids, OH 43522	: CASE NO.:
Plaintiff, v.	: : JUDGE :
Domino's Pizza, Inc. 30 Frank Lloyd Wright Dr. Ann Arbor, MI 48106 Defendant.	: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :
	: : A Trial by the Maximum Number of Jurors : is hereby Demanded :

Here comes Plaintiff Isaac John Brewster, (hereinafter "Plaintiff") and sets forth a Complaint against Defendant Domino's Pizza, Inc. (hereinafter "Defendant") as follows:

JURISDICTION

- 1. This action arises out of Defendant's repeated violations of the Telephone

 Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227, et. seq. (the "TCPA") and 47 C.F.R. § 64.1200(c)(2).
- 2. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), in that the Defendant transacts business in this District and a substantial portion of the acts giving rise to this action occurred in this District.

PARTIES

3. The Plaintiff, Isaac John Brewster ("Plaintiff"), is an adult individual residing in Grand Rapids, Ohio, and is a "person" as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153(39).

4. The Defendant, Domino's Pizza, Inc. ("Defendant"), is a Texas business entity with an address of 30 Frank Lloyd Wright Drive, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48106, and is a "person" as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153(39).

THE NATIONAL DO-NOT-CALL REGISTRY

- 5. The National Do-Not-Call Registry allows consumers to register their telephone numbers and thereby indicate their desire not to receive telephone solicitations at those numbers. *See* 47 C.F.R. § 64.1200(c)(2).
- 6. A listing on the Do-Not-Call Registry "must be honored indefinitely, or until the registration is cancelled by the consumer or the telephone number is removed by the database administrator." *Id*.
- 7. The TCPA and implementing regulations prohibit the initiation of telephone solicitations to residential telephone subscribers to the Do-Not-Call Registry and provides a private right of action against any entity that initiated more than one such telephone solicitation within any 12-month period. 47 U.S.C. § 227(c)(5); 47 C.F.R. § 64.1200(c)(2).
- 8. Telephone solicitations under 47 C.F.R. § 64.1200(c)(2) include text messages. *See Gulden v. Liberty Home Guard LLC*, 2021 WL 689912, at *4–5 (D. Ariz. Feb. 23, 2021).

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 9. Plaintiff's cellular number, 419-xxx-8089, has been registered with the National Do-Not-Call Registry since December 16, 2021.
- 10. Within the last year, Defendant initiated repeated telephone solicitations to Plaintiff's cellular telephone by sending repeated text messages to Plaintiff's cellular telephone.

- 11. The text messages advertised Defendant's restaurants, including a link to Defendant's website, and encouraged Plaintiff to visit Defendant's website and order food from its restaurants.
- 12. Plaintiff has no prior business relationship with Defendant, has never purchased any products from Defendant, has never provided his cellular telephone number to Defendant, and never provided his consent for Defendant to contact him on his cellular telephone.
- 13. Plaintiff replied to Defendant's texts with "Stop" for the first time on December 3, 2021, and has continued to reply "Stop" repeatedly since then.
- 14. Despite Plaintiff's unequivocal instructions that Defendant stop all text messages,
 Defendant continued to place text messages to Plaintiff's cellular telephone thereafter.

COUNT I <u>VIOLATIONS OF THE TCPA</u> (47 U.S.C. § 227, et seq. and 47 C.F.R. § 64.1200(c)(2))

- 15. The Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- 16. 47 C.F.R. § 64.1200(c)(2) provides that "No person or entity shall initiate any telephone solicitation to . . . (2) A residential telephone subscriber who has registered his or her telephone number on the national do-not-call registry of persons who do not wish to receive telephone solicitations that is maintained by the Federal Government. Such do-not-call registrations must be honored indefinitely, or until the registration is cancelled by the consumer or the telephone number is removed by the database administrator."
- 17. The TCPA provides a private right of action to "A person who has received more than one telephone call within any 12-month period by or on behalf of the same entity in violation of the regulations" 47 U.S.C. § 227(c)(5).

Case: 3:22-cv-01037-JZ Doc #: 1 Filed: 06/15/22 4 of 5. PageID #: 4

18. Defendant knowingly and willfully violated 47 U.S.C. § 227, et seq. and 47

C.F.R. § 64.1200(c)(2) by initiating more than one telephone solicitation text messages to

Plaintiff's cellular telephone within a 12-month period despite the fact that Plaintiff's cellular

telephone was registered on the National Do-Not-Call Registry at all relevant times and Plaintiff

expressly requested that Defendant cease sending him text messages.

19. Plaintiff is entitled to an award of \$500.00 in statutory damages for each text

message sent by the Defendant in violation of the TCPA pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B).

20. Plaintiff is entitled to an award of treble damages in an amount up to \$1,500.00

for each text message Defendant sent to Plaintiff that constitutes a knowing and/or willful

violation of the TCPA pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B) and 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(C).

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff prays that judgment be entered against the Defendant as

follows:

A. Statutory damages of \$500.00 for each violation determined to be negligent

pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B);

B. Treble damages for each violation determined to be willful and/or knowing

pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(C); and

C. Such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

TRIAL BY JURY DEMANDED ON ALL COUNTS

Dated: June 15, 2022

By: /s/ Sergei Lemberg

Sergei Lemberg, Esq. LEMBERG LAW, L.L.C. 43 Danbury Road, 3rd Floor Wilton, CT 06897 Case: 3:22-cv-01037-JZ Doc #: 1 Filed: 06/15/22 5 of 5. PageID #: 5

Telephone: (203) 653-2250 Facsimile: (203) 653-3424

Email: slemberg@lemberglaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff: Isaac John Brewster

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff hereby makes a demand for trial by the maximum number of jurors allowed by law, on all triable issues.

/s/ Sergei Lemberg
Sergei Lemberg, Esq.